# Case analysis of SAPS Wave Structure event observed by Arase and SuperDARN

あらせ衛星とSuperDARNで観測されたSAPS Wave Structureの事例解析

T. Fukami<sup>1</sup>, A. Kumamoto<sup>1</sup>, Y. Katoh<sup>1</sup>, N. Nishitani<sup>2</sup>, T. Hori<sup>2</sup>, Y. Kasaba<sup>1</sup>, F. Tsuchiya<sup>1</sup>, M. Teramoto<sup>3</sup>, T. Kimura<sup>1</sup>, Y. Kasahara<sup>4</sup>, M. Shoji<sup>2</sup>, S. Nakamura<sup>2</sup>, M. Kitahara<sup>2</sup>, A. Matsuoka<sup>6</sup>, S. Imajo<sup>2</sup>, S. Kasahara<sup>5</sup>, S. Yokota<sup>7</sup>, K. Keika<sup>5</sup>, Y. Kazama<sup>8</sup>, S. Wan<sup>8</sup>, J. Chae-Woo<sup>2</sup>, K. Asamura<sup>9</sup>, Y. Miyoshi<sup>2</sup>, I. Shinohara<sup>9</sup>, S. Shepherd<sup>10</sup>

1: Tohoku University, 2: ISEE, Nagoya University, 3: Kyushu Institute of Technology, 4: Kanazawa University, 5: University of Tokyo, 6: Kyoto University, 7: Osaka University, 8: ASIAA, 9: ISAS/JAXA, 10:Dartmouth College

# 1. Introduction SAPSWS and plasma pressure

SAPSWS: SAPS wave structure

 SAPS-related electric and magnetic field oscillations and plasma density irregularities

generation mechanism?

-Temporal variation or spatial one?

-Dominant wave mode?

[Mishin et al. (2003); Makarevich and Bristow (2014)]

Only hot ion

Mishin and Burke (2005)

SAPSWS observed with ion nose structure in plasmasphere and ring current overlap region.

Ebihara et al. (2009)

Plasma pressure distribution contributes to the variation of ionospheric SAPS flow.

Research the relation between

<u>E & B variations</u> and pressure of hot ion by direct observation



complex pressure distribution in magnetosphere simulated by Ebihara et al. (2009)

Q: What is the physical driver of SAPSWS?

□Observations of SAPSWS in magnetosphere are limited

□There are no study focusing on relationship between phases of electric/magnetic field and hot (1-100 keV) ion's contribution

In this study,

To identify what drives SAPSWS by comparison between SAPSWS and SAPS without wave structure, ERG and SuperDARN data are analyzed, focusing on <u>hot ion's pressure</u>.



ERG satellite [Miyoshi et al., 2018]

- Orbit: perigee ~ 460 km, apogee ~ 32,110 km
- Spin period ~ 8 s

Table. Instruments of ERG

Instruments		Measurement	Coverage	Time resolution
Plasma Wave Experiment (PWE)	High Frequency Analyzer (HFA)	Electric field	10 kHz - 10 MHz	1 min
	Electric Field Detector (EFD)	Electric field	DC - 256 Hz	8 s
Magnetic Field Experiment (MGF)		Magnetic field	DC - 256 Hz	8 s
Medium-Energy Particle Experiments - Ion mass Analyzer (MEP-i)		Energetic ion	10 - 180 keV/q	8 s
Low-Energy Particle Experiments - Electron Analyzer (LEP-e)		Energetic electron	0.02 - 19 keV	8 s
Low-Energy Particle Experiments - Ion mass Analyzer (LEP-i)		Energetic ion	0.02 - 20 keV/q	8 s



Fig. image of ERG satellite http://www.isas.jaxa.jp/missions/spacecraft/ current/erg.html



Fig. image of SuperDARN http://vt.superdarn.org/tikiindex.php?galleryId=82&fast

#### SuperDARN: Super Dual Auroral Radar Network [Greenwald et al. (1995)]

ground-based coherent-scatter radars that operate in the high-frequency (HF) band to observe ionospheric flow

- Coverage: 180 km 3,500 km (line of sight)
- time resolution : 1 2 min spatial resolution : 30 km

Table. SuperDARN radar sites

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
sites	Geomagnetic lat. [deg]	Geomagnetic lon. [deg]		
Christmas Valley East (CVE)	49.02	304.53		



Energy density [Nosè et al. (2000)]

$$\varepsilon = \iiint \frac{1}{2} m v^2 f(\boldsymbol{v}) \, dv^3$$

Relation between f(v) and J

$$f = \frac{m^2}{2E}J$$

Isotropic pressure  $p = 2\varepsilon$  then

$$\boldsymbol{p} = \iiint m v^2 f(\boldsymbol{v}) \, dv^3$$

$$= \int_{v} \int_{\alpha} \int_{\phi=0}^{2\pi} m^{2}v^{2} J(E, \alpha, \phi) \sin(\alpha) dv d\phi d\alpha$$
  
$$= 2\pi \int_{E} \int_{\alpha=0}^{\pi} \sqrt{2mE} J_{\phi_{ave}}(E, \alpha) \sin(\alpha) dE d\alpha$$
  
$$= 4\pi \int_{E} \sqrt{2mE} J_{\alpha\phi_{ave}}(E) dE$$
  
$$= 4\pi \sum_{E_{i}} \sqrt{2mE_{i}} J_{omni}(E_{i}) \Delta E_{i}$$

m : mass
v : velocity
E : energy
f(v) : distribution function
J : differential flux

 $\phi$  : perpendicular velocity phase angle  $\alpha$  : pitch angle

5

 $E_i$ : center energy of each channel  $\Delta E_i$ : energy band width of each channel  $J_{omni}(E_i)$ : omni differential flux

### 3. Result Overview of ERG-SuperDARN conjunction event (July 9th, 2017)

7/8/2017 0:00 UT ~ IMF Bz changed southward 7/9/2017 2:00 UT ~ AE index increased suddenly (~1000 nT)

#### Event time (7/9/2017 2:30~3:00 UT)

 $\rightarrow$  transition period between expansion phase and recovery phase of strong substorm

# ERG was on duskside (outbound) ERG's footprint was in SuperDARN CVE radar site





6

#### <u>3. Result</u> SuperDARN observation on July 9th, 2017



Ionospheric westward flow fluctuations (SAPSWS) enhance near ERG's footprint.

Fine structure: flow variation ~200 m/s, latitudinal wave length ~230 km
 Moving equatorward with 30 km/min

Fig. time variation of SAPS flows on each beam

0240

0250

0300

0230

02:27 - 02:42 Electric field variation appears. Amplitude: ~2.5 mV/m Period: ~5-6 min

02:27 Proton flux increases. 02:46 Electron flux increases.

dE : applying high-pass filter (10 minutes)

 $\rightarrow$ Ion nose structure

Ion's isotropic pressure: ~31 nPa

SAPSWS is observed with ion nose structure
Ion's pressure is high and has variation





### 3. Result Comparison of strong and weak variation event



- Strong E variation
- Ion's pressure is high and has variation (similar to 2017-7-9)



Ion's pressure is low

9

We found 15 ion nose structure events observed by ERG. Electric field variation seems to be enhanced with large variations of pressure (dP).

date	dE [mV/m]	P [nPa]	dP [nPa]
2017-7-23/07:30-08:30	17.5	27.0	<mark>9</mark> .5
2017-8-17/12:30-14:00	6.0	39.0	10.0
2017-7-9/02:00-03:00	5.0	31. <mark>0</mark>	14.0
2017-8-31/08:30-09:30	5.0	35.0	13.0
2017-9-13/08:00-10:00	5.0	38.0	6.0
2018-12-1/16:20-17:20	4.0	<mark>2</mark> 3.0	7.5
2017-7-1/05:20-06:20	3.5	15.0	4.0
2017-6-25/07:00-08:00	×	18.0	3.0
2017-8-3/17:30-19:00	×	9.0	3.0
2018-12-26/13:30-14:30	×	14.0	2.0
2018-10-21/15:00-16:00	×	10.0	1.0
2017-8-11/06:15-07:15	×	5.0	0.5
2019-1-4/15:10-16:10	×	5.0	0.5
2018-10-4/16:30-17:30	×	4.0	0.0
2017-8-1/11:20-12:20	×	3.0	0.0

Table. Features of Ion nose structure events

x: weak (< 2 mV/m)</p>

dE, dP
difference from positive peak to negative peak
(applying high-pass filter (10 minutes))

#### 4. Discussion

# Variations of Electric / Magnetic field and pressure



dE\_outward appears in a close period

### <u>4. Discussion</u> Variations of Electric / Magnetic field and pressure





These implies that current generator theory can be applied for small scall variation (SAPSWS).

#### <u>4. Discussion</u> <u>comparison of the scale size in the ionosphere and the magnetosphere</u>



ERG and SuperDARN observed same structures

#### **Summary**

We analyzed <u>7 SAPSWS</u> events and <u>8 weak/no wave structure</u> events.

In 6 SAPSWS event, an variation width of pressure variations is larger than 6 nPa.

Peaks of <u>pressure</u> and <u>eastward magnetic field</u> observed simultaneously. <u>Outward electric field</u> is also observed near them.

It seems that SAPSWS can be explained with current generator theory. :Multiple fine high-pressure regions extending in azimuthal direction are distributed radially, and there FAC is generated.

The quantitative evaluation is in progress.

#### Future work

Compare electric field variations observed in the magnetosphere and the ionosphere
 Analyze observations by conjugated DMSP satellites (8/31/2017, 9/13/2017 event)

- Anderson et al., Journal of Geophysical Research, 98(92),6069-6078, (1993)
- Ebihara et al., *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 114(1), 1-8, (2009)
- Foster and Vo, Journal of Geophysical Research, 107(A12), 1475, (2002)
- Greenwald et al., Journal of Geophysical Research, 100(A10), 19661, (1995)
- Makarevich and Bristow, Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 119, 3789-3802, (2014)
- Mishin et al., *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 108(A8), (2003)
- Mishin and Burke, Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 110, (2005)
- Miyoshi et al., Earth, Planets and Space, 70, 1, (2018)
- Nosé et al., Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 105(A10), 23277-23286, (2000)
- Vasyliunas, *Mathematical Models of Magnetospheric Convection and its Coupling to the Ionosphere*, (1970)
- Wang et al., Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 124, 1770-1784, (2019)